

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,988 09/05/2003		Dale L. Handlin, Jr.,	KPR-T3229A-RE 4200		
24923	7590	12/27/2005		EXAMINER	
PAUL S MA		% CDID AM DC	LIPMAN, BERNARD		
2603 AUGUS		& SRIRAM, PC TE 700	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HOUSTON,	•		1713		

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/655,988	HANDLIN, JR., ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bernard Lipman	1713				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHICHEN - Extensions after SIX (6 - If NO perio - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY /ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13:) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. d for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wie poly within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, exceived by the Office later than three months after the mailing ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)∐ This 3)∐ Sind	ponsive to communication(s) filed ons action is FINAL. 2b) This ce this application is in condition for allowanted in accordance with the practice under Expensive Expens	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pr					
Disposition of	of Claims	r ·					
4a) 0 5)⊠ Clai 6)⊠ Clai 7)□ Clai 8)□ Clai Application F 9)□ The 10)□ The	m(s) 1-22, 24 and 25(23 is missing) is/are portion and 25 is/are allowed. m(s) 1-20 and 25 is/are allowed. m(s) 21,22 and 24 is/are rejected. m(s) is/are objected to. m(s) are subject to restriction and/or appers specification is objected to by the Examiner drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accellicant may not request that any objection to the diacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and sheet(s) in the correction and	rn from consideration. relection requirement. repted or b) □ objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		•				
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) ☐ Notice of D 3) ☑ Information	deferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) n)/Mail Date 9/5/03.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/655,988

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.
- 2. The format of the claims needs to be corrected, since reissue applications require that only bracketing and underlining be used. Striking through of deleted subject matter is improper and should be replaced using bracketing. New claims are required.
- 3. Claims 1-20 and 25 are allowed.

Thus application, which is a reissue of U.S. Patent 5,405,911, has been protested on the grounds that two references, which are, in fact, representative of the closest prior art, render the amended claims unpatentable. The claims are deemed patentable over these references to Jones, U.S. Patent 3,629,172 ad to Bouchal et al. The patentability of the claims derives from the required combination of degree of functionality and degree of hydrogenation. While Reference to Bouchal teaches polymers with the degree of functionality required, this is a teaching before hydrogenation. The reference specifically discloses that hydrogenation reduces the functionality to as low as ¼ of the original functionality, and the functionality of the example referred to in the protest is, in fact, taught to be below 70% of its original functionality. Although the reference teaches trying to mitigate the reduction in functionality, the functionalities taught nowhere reach those of applicants' claims. Reference to Jones is essentially silent as to functionality in its closest embodiment to the claims, polymer 9, but it is clear from the reduction in viscosity the chain length has been reduced to less than half due to scission and the

Application/Control Number: 10/655,988

Art Unit: 1713

polymers would be reduced to less than half of the original functionality. The Claims are not, therefore, either anticipated or rendered prima facie obvious from the teachings of either references, and are both novel and unobvious over the closest prior art as cited.

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 21, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitations of functionality are not found to have support in the disclosure. The claims, furthermore, are indefinite insofar as the term in the claim from which they depend "about two" only conventionally allows for a 10% reduction and not the 15 or 25% differences as claimed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-1105. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on 571-272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,988

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Lipman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713 Page 4

BL/hs